

## Math 554 Test 1, answer key

**Problem 1.** Find the sum of the series  $\sum_{k=0}^9 \frac{3(-1)^k x^{2k}}{10^k}$ . □

*Solution.* This is a geometric series, thus its sum is

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{\text{first} - \text{next}}{1 - \text{ratio}} \\ &= \frac{\frac{3(-1)^0 x^0}{10^0} - \frac{3(-1)^{10} x^{20}}{10^{10}}}{1 - \frac{-x^2}{10}} \\ &= \frac{10^{10} \left( \frac{3(-1)^0 x^0}{10^0} - \frac{3(-1)^{10} x^{20}}{10^{10}} \right)}{10^{10} \left( 1 - \frac{-x^2}{10} \right)} \\ &= \frac{3 \cdot 10^{10} - 3x^{20}}{10^{10} + 10^9 x^2}. \end{aligned}$$
□

**Problem 2.** Let  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{100}$  be real numbers such that

$$|x_k - x_{k-1}| < \frac{1}{2^k} \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, 100.$$

Show

$$|x_{100} - x_0| < 1.$$

*Hint:* Note that by the adding and subtracting trick and the triangle inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x_0 - x_5| &= |(x_0 - x_1) + (x_1 - x_2) + (x_2 - x_3) + (x_3 - x_4) + (x_4 - x_5)| \\ &\leq |x_0 - x_1| + |x_1 - x_2| + |x_2 - x_3| + |x_3 - x_4| + |x_4 - x_5| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{2^3} + \frac{1}{2^4} + \frac{1}{2^5}. \end{aligned}$$

Something like this works with 5 replaced by 100. □

*Solution.* We use the adding and subtracting and summation notation. First note

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 - x_{100} &= (x_0 - x_1) + (x_1 - x_2) + (x_2 - x_3) + \cdots + (x_{98} - x_{99}) + (x_{99} - x_{100}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{100} (x_k - x_{k-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 |x_0 - x_{100}| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^{100} (x_k - x_{k-1}) \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{100} |x_k - x_{k-1}| && \text{(triangle inequality)} \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{100} \frac{1}{2^k} && \text{(given)} \\
 &= \frac{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{101}}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} && \text{(sum of geometric series)} \\
 &< \frac{\frac{1}{2} - 0}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} \\
 &= 1
 \end{aligned}$$

as required.  $\square$

**Problem 3.** Let  $b > 1$ . Show that the subset  $B := \{b^k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{b, b^2, b^3, \dots\}$  is unbounded in  $\mathbb{R}$ . *Hint:* Towards a contradiction assume that  $B$  has an upper bound. Then by the least upper bound axiom  $B$  has a least upper bound  $\beta = \sup(B)$ . Use this fact to derive a contradiction.  $\square$

*Solution.* Towards a contradiction assume that the set  $B$  is bounded. Then by the Least Upper bound axiom it will have a least upper bound,  $\beta = \sup(B)$ . For any natural number  $n$  the number  $n + 1$  is also a natural number, thus  $b^{n+1} \in B$  and as  $\beta$  is an upper bound for  $B$  this implies

$$b^{n+1} \leq \beta$$

and dividing by  $b$  implies

$$b^n \leq \frac{\beta}{b} < \beta$$

(where  $\beta/b < \beta$  because  $b > 1$ ). This implies  $\beta/b$  is an upper bound for  $B$  which is less than the least upper bound, a contradiction.  $\square$

**Problem 4.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  which are bounded above. Let

$$\alpha = \sup(A), \quad \beta = \sup(B).$$

and let  $A + B$  be the set

$$A + B = \{a + b : a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}.$$

Prove

$$\sup(A + B) = \alpha + \beta.$$

$\square$

*Solution (brute force).* We first show  $\alpha + \beta$  is an upper bound for  $A + B$ . Let  $s \in A + B$ , then for some  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$  we have  $s = a + b$ . Then as  $\alpha$  is an upper bound for  $A$  and  $\beta$  is an upper bound for  $B$  we have  $a \leq \alpha$  and  $b \leq \beta$  and thus

$$s = a + b \leq \alpha + \beta.$$

As  $s$  was any element of  $S$  this shows  $\alpha + \beta$  is an upper bound for  $A + B$ .

To see that  $\alpha + \beta$  is a least upper bound for  $A + B$ , let  $\gamma$  be any upper bound and we will show  $\alpha + \beta \leq \gamma$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then by the definition of least upper bound there are  $a_1 \in A$  and  $b_1 \in B$  such that the inequalities

$$\alpha - \varepsilon < a_1 \leq \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \beta - \varepsilon < b_1 \leq \beta.$$

Then

$$\alpha + \beta - 2\varepsilon = (\alpha - \varepsilon) + (\beta - \varepsilon) < a_1 + b_1 \leq \gamma$$

where  $a_1 + b_1 < \gamma$  as  $a_1 + b_1 \in A + B$  and  $\gamma$  is an upper bound for  $A + B$ . Thus

$$\alpha + \beta - 2\varepsilon < \gamma$$

for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , which implies  $\alpha + \beta \leq \gamma$ . Therefore  $\alpha + \beta$  is an upper bound for  $A + B$  that is  $\leq \gamma$  for any other upper bound of  $A + B$ . Whence  $\sup(A + B) = \alpha + \beta$ .  $\square$

*Solution (less work, but not as transparent).* We start the same, let  $x \in A + B$ . Then  $x = a + b$  for some  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . Then  $a \leq \alpha$  and  $b \leq \beta$  as  $\alpha$  is an upper bound for  $A$  and  $\beta$  is an upper bound for  $B$ . Thus

$$x = a + b \leq \alpha + \beta$$

and so  $\alpha + \beta$  is an upper bound for  $A + B$  which implies

$$\sup(A + B) \leq \alpha + \beta.$$

We still have to show it is the least upper bound. Let  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . Then

$$a + b \leq \sup(A + B).$$

Rearrange this as

$$a \leq \sup(A + B) - b.$$

This shows that  $\sup(A + B) - b$  is an upper bound for  $A$  for any  $b \in B$  and thus

$$\alpha = \sup(A) \leq \sup(A + B) - b.$$

Rearrange this as

$$b \leq \sup(A + B) - \alpha$$

and as this works for all  $b \in B$  we have that  $\sup(A + B) - \alpha$  is an upper bound for  $B$  and thus

$$\beta \leq \sup(A + B) - \alpha.$$

This rearranges as

$$\alpha + \beta \leq \sup(A + B).$$

But we have already seen that  $\sup(A + B) \leq \alpha + \beta$  and therefore we have the required equality  $\sup(A + B) = \alpha + \beta$ .  $\square$

**Problem 5.** Give examples of

- (a) A subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $\sup(A) = 42$ ,  $\inf(A) = 17$ , but such that  $A$  has no maximum but it does have a minimum.
- (b) A set that is bounded below, but not bounded from above.
- (c) Irrational numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that sum  $\alpha + \beta$  and product  $\alpha\beta$  are rational.

*Solution.* (a) The easiest example is the half open interval  $A = [17, 42)$ . Then  $\inf(A) = \min(A) = 17$  and  $\sup(A) = 42$ , but  $A$  has no maximum (for if it did it would have to be 42 which is not in the set).

(b) A natural example is  $[0, \infty)$  which is bounded below by 0, but has no upper bound.

(c) Let  $\alpha = \sqrt{3}$  and  $\beta = -\sqrt{3}$ . Then  $\alpha + \beta = 0$  which is rational and  $\alpha\beta = -3$  which is also rational.

If you want a general method to find such  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  note

$$(x - \alpha)(x - \beta) = x^2 - (\alpha + \beta)x + \alpha\beta.$$

Therefore if you choose any rational numbers  $r$  and  $s$  such that the roots of

$$x^2 - rx + s$$

are irrational, then the roots  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  have  $\alpha + \beta = r$  and  $\alpha\beta = s$  and so give examples. □

**Problem 6.** Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be the set of rational numbers and let  $S = \mathbb{Q} \cap (1, \sqrt{3})$  be the set of rational numbers between 1 and  $\sqrt{3}$ . Find  $\alpha = \inf(S)$  and  $\beta = \sup(S)$  and prove your answers are correct. You can use the fact that there is a rational number between any two real numbers. □

*Solution.* First  $\inf(S) = 1$ . For any  $s \in S = \mathbb{Q} \cap (1, \sqrt{3})$  we have that  $s$  is between 1 and  $\sqrt{3}$  and thus  $1 < s$ . Therefore 1 is a lower bound for  $S$ . Let  $a$  be any lower bound for  $S$ . Towards a contradiction assume that  $a > 1$ . Then there is a rational number,  $r$ , between 1 and  $a$ . But then  $r \in S$  as it is a rational number between 1 and  $\sqrt{3}$ . But  $r$  between 1 and  $a$  implies  $r < a$  contradicting that  $a$  is a lower bound for  $S$ . Therefore 1 is the greatest lower bound for  $S$ .

The proof that  $\sup(S) = \sqrt{3}$  is almost identical. First  $\sqrt{3}$  is an upper bound as any element,  $s$ , of  $S$  has  $s \leq \sqrt{3}$ . Towards a contradiction assume that  $\sqrt{3}$  is not the least upper bound. Then there is an upper bound  $b$  of  $S$  that is less than  $\sqrt{3}$ . Then there is a rational number,  $r$ , between  $b$  and  $\sqrt{3}$ . This rational number is in  $S$  as it is rational  $1 < r < \sqrt{3}$ . But  $r > b$  contradicting that  $b$  is an upper bound. So  $\sup(S) = \sqrt{3}$ . □

We have defined a function  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  to be **Lipschitz** if and only if there is a number  $M \geq 0$  such that

$$|f(x_2) - f(x_1)| \leq M|x_2 - x_1|$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in [a, b]$ .

**Problem 7.** Let  $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$  on  $[0, \infty)$ .

- (a) Show  $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$  is Lipschitz on the interval  $[1, 100]$ . *Hint:* One way to start this is to use just the opposite of rationalizing the denominator, which is to rationalize the numerator. A example calculation looks like

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sqrt{65} - \sqrt{64} &= \frac{(\sqrt{65} - \sqrt{64})(\sqrt{65} + \sqrt{64})}{(\sqrt{65} + \sqrt{64})} \\
 &= \frac{(\sqrt{65})^2 - (\sqrt{64})^2}{\sqrt{65} + \sqrt{64}} \\
 &= \frac{65 - 64}{\sqrt{65} + \sqrt{64}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{65} + \sqrt{64}} \\
 &< \frac{1}{\sqrt{64} + \sqrt{64}} \quad (\text{as } \sqrt{65} > \sqrt{64}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{16}.
 \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Show that  $f(x)$  is not Lipschitz on the interval  $[0, 1]$ . *Hint:* Assume that  $f(x)$  is Lipschitz on  $[0, 1]$ . Then there is a constant  $M$  such that

$$|\sqrt{x_2} - \sqrt{x_1}| \leq M|x_2 - x_1|$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in [0, 1]$ . Letting  $x_1 = 0$  gives  $\sqrt{x_2} \leq Mx_2$  for all  $x_2 \in [0, 1]$ . Show this leads to a contradiction.  $\square$

*Solution.* (a) Let  $x_1, x_2 \in [1, 100]$ . Then  $\sqrt{x_1}, \sqrt{x_2} \geq 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(x_2) - f(x_1)| &= |\sqrt{x_2} - \sqrt{x_1}| \\
 &= \left| \frac{(\sqrt{x_2} - \sqrt{x_1})(\sqrt{x_2} + \sqrt{x_1})}{\sqrt{x_2} + \sqrt{x_1}} \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{(\sqrt{x_2})^2 - (\sqrt{x_1})^2}{\sqrt{x_2} + \sqrt{x_1}} \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{x_2 - x_1}{\sqrt{x_2} + \sqrt{x_1}} \right| \\
 &= \frac{|x_2 - x_1|}{\sqrt{x_2} + \sqrt{x_1}} \\
 &\leq \frac{|x_2 - x_1|}{1 + 1} \quad (\text{as } \sqrt{x_1}, \sqrt{x_2} \geq 1) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}|x_2 - x_1|.
 \end{aligned}$$

This show  $f(x)$  is Lipschitz on  $[0, 100]$ .

- (b) As per the hint, assume that there is a constant  $M$  such that  $|f(x_2) - f(x_1)| \leq M|x_2 - x_1|$  and let  $x_1 = 0$  and  $x_2 = x$ . Then the Lipschitz inequality implies for any  $x$  with  $0 < x \leq 1$  that

$$\sqrt{x} = |\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{0}| \leq M|x - 0| = Mx.$$

Dividing by  $\sqrt{x}$  gives

$$1 \leq M\sqrt{x}.$$

Letting  $x = 1/(2M)^2$  in this gives

$$1 \leq M\sqrt{x} = M\sqrt{\frac{1}{(2M)^2}} = \frac{M}{2M} = \frac{1}{2}$$

which is the contradiction that completes the proof.  $\square$

**Problem 8.** Let  $f$  be Lipschitz on an interval  $[a, b]$  and assume that for some positive number  $c$  that  $f(x) \geq c$  on  $[a, b]$ . Prove that  $g(x)$  defined by

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{f(x)}$$

is Lipschitz on  $[a, b]$ .  $\square$

*Solution.* Since  $f(x)$  is Lipschitz on  $[a, b]$  there is a constant  $M$  such that

$$|f(x_2) - f(x_1)| \leq M.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |g(x_2) - g(x_1)| &= \left| \frac{1}{f(x_1)} - \frac{1}{f(x_2)} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{f(x_2) - f(x_1)}{f(x_1)f(x_2)} \right| \\ &= \frac{|f(x_2) - f(x_1)|}{f(x_1)f(x_2)} \\ &\leq \frac{M|x_2 - x_1|}{f(x_1)f(x_2)} && (\text{as } |f(x_2) - f(x_1)| \leq M) \\ &\leq \frac{M|x_2 - x_1|}{c \cdot c} && (\text{as } f(x_1), f(x_2) \geq c) \\ &= \frac{M}{c^2}|x_2 - x_1| \\ &= M'|x_2 - x_1| \end{aligned}$$

where  $M' = \frac{M}{c^2}$ . This shows that  $g$  is Lipschitz.  $\square$

**Problem 9.** Let  $n$  be an odd positive integer and let  $h(x)$  be a function on all of  $\mathbb{R}$  that satisfies the two conditions

$$\begin{aligned} |h(x_2) - h(x_1)| &\leq A|x_2 - x_1| \\ |h(x)| &\leq B + C|x|^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

for some constants  $A, B, C$  and all  $x_1, x_2, x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $f(x)$  be defined by

$$f(x) = x^n + h(x).$$

- (a) Explain why for any  $b > 0$  that  $f(x)$  is Lipschitz on  $[-b, b]$ . *Hint:* You can use the facts that polynomials are Lipschitz on any finite interval and that the sum of two Lipschitz functions is Lipschitz.
- (b) Show that there is a  $b > 0$  such that

$$f(-b) < 0, \quad \text{and} \quad f(b) > 0.$$

- (c) Give the statement of the Lipschitz Intermediate Value Theorem and say how it implies that  $f(x) = 0$  has a solution for some  $x$  with  $-b < x < b$ .

*Solution.* (a) The function  $x^n$  is a polynomial thus is Lipschitz on the interval  $[-b, b]$ . The function  $h(x)$  is Lipschitz (as  $|h(x_2) - h(x_1)| \leq A|x_2 - x_1|$ ) and therefore  $f(x) = x^n + h(x)$  is the sum of Lipschitz functions and therefore  $f(x)$  is Lipschitz.

- (b) Assume that  $x \geq 1$ . Then  $x$  is positive so  $|x| = x$  and  $|x|^{n-1} \geq 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= x^n + h(x) \\ &\geq x^n - |h(x)| \\ &\geq x^n - (B + C|x|^{n-1}) && (\text{as } |h(x)| \leq B + C|x|^{n-1}) \\ &\geq x^n - (B|x|^{n-1} + C|x|^{n-1}) && (\text{as } |x|^{n-1} \geq 1) \\ &= x^n - (Bx^{n-1} + Cx^{n-1}) && (\text{as } x = |x| \text{ for } x \geq 1) \\ &= x^{n-1}(x - (B + C)) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore if  $x \geq$  and  $x > (B + C)$ , we have

$$f(x) \geq x^{n-1}(x - (B + C)) = (\text{positive}) \times (\text{positive})$$

and thus  $f(x)$  is positive. In particular  $f(x) > 0$  when  $x \geq 1 + B + C$ .

Now assume that  $x \leq -1$ . Then  $x$  is negative so  $|x| = -x$ . As  $n$  is odd  $|x|^n = (-x)^n = (-1)^n x^n = -x^n$  as  $(-1)^n = -1$  for odd numbers. Likewise  $n-1$  is even so a similar calculation shows  $|x|^{n-1} = (-x)^{n-1} = x^{n-1}$ . So for  $x \leq -1$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= x^n + h(x) \\ &\leq x^n + |h(x)| \\ &\leq x^n + B + C|x|^{n-1} && (\text{as } |h(x)| \leq B + C|x|^{n-1}) \\ &\leq x^n + B|x|^{n-1} + C|x|^{n-1} && (\text{as } |x| \geq 1) \\ &= x^n + x^{n-1}(B + C) && (\text{as } x^{n-1} = |x|^{n-1}) \\ &= x^{n-1}(x + (B + C)). \end{aligned}$$

Now choose our  $x \leq -1$  so that also  $x < -(B + C)$ , then  $x + (B + C) < 0$  and so

$$f(x) \leq x^{n-1}(x + (B + C)) = (\text{positive}) \times (\text{negative})$$

and therefore  $f(x) < 0$ . So if  $x \leq -(1 + B + C)$ , then  $f(x) < 0$ .

Therefore if we let  $b = 1 + B + C$  we have  $f(-b) < 0$  and  $f(b) > 0$ .

(c) The statement is

**Lipschitz Intermediate Value Theorem.** *Let  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Lipschitz function such that  $f(a) < 0$  and  $f(b) > 0$ . Then there is a point  $\xi \in (a, b)$  with  $f(\xi) = 0$ . That is  $f(x) = 0$  has a solution with for some  $x$  between  $a$  and  $b$ .*

In our case we have the Lipschitz function  $f: [-b, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $f(-b) < 0$  and  $f(b) > 0$  so there is a  $x = \xi$  with  $-b < \xi < b$   $f(x) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Problem 10.** Let

$$h(x) = ax^3 + bx^2 + cx + d$$

be a cubic polynomial. Show there are constants  $B$  and  $C$  such that

$$|h(x)| \leq B + C|x|^3$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

*Solution.* First assume  $|x| \leq 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |h(x)| &= |ax^3 + bx^2 + cx + d| \\ &\leq |a||x|^3 + |b||x|^2 + |c||x| + |d| && \text{(triangle inequality)} \\ &\leq |a| + |b| + |c| + |d| && \text{(as } |x| \leq 1) \end{aligned}$$

For  $|x| \geq 1$  we have  $|x|^3 \geq |x|^2$ ,  $|x|^3 \geq |x|$  and  $|x|^3 \geq 1$  and thus

$$\begin{aligned} |h(x)| &= |ax^3 + bx^2 + cx + d| \\ &\leq |a||x|^3 + |b||x|^2 + |c||x| + |d| && \text{(triangle inequality)} \\ &\leq |a||x|^3 + |b||x|^3 + |c||x|^3 + |d||x|^3 && \text{(as } |x| \geq 1) \\ &= (|a| + |b| + |c| + |d|)|x|^3 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the inequality

$$|h(x)| \leq (|a| + |b| + |c| + |d|)|x|^3 + (|a| + |b| + |c| + |d|)$$

holds for all  $x$ . Thus if we set  $B + C = |a| + |b| + |c| + |d|$  we have

$$|h(x)| \leq B|x|^3 + C$$

as required.  $\square$