

KINEMATIC AND CROFTON FORMULAS FOR LINEAR GROUPS.

RALPH HOWARD

ABSTRACT. Let $G_q(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be the Grassmannian of all linear q dimensional subspaces of $\mathbf{R}^n$ and I an integral invariant of $p + q - n$ dimensional submanifolds of $\mathbf{R}^n$. Then we give methods of evaluating Crofton type integral

$$\int_{G_q(\mathbf{R}^n)} I(M \cap L) dL.$$

The methods also work for various generalizations of $G_q(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such as complex Grassmannians.

1. INTRODUCTION

In Section 4(d) of his paper [2], Griffiths proposes studying Crofton type formulas for curvature integrals of intersections of a submanifolds of $\mathbf{R}^n$ with the set of all linear, rather than the larger set of affine, subspaces of $\mathbf{R}^n$. To be more specific, let $G_q(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be the Grassmannian manifold of all q dimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbf{R}^n$ and M a compact p dimensional submanifold of $\mathbf{R}^n$. Then the problem is to evaluate integrals of the form

$$\int_{G_q(\mathbf{R}^n)} I(M \cap L) dL$$

where I is an “integral invariant” of $p+q-n$ dimensional submanifolds of $\mathbf{R}^n$ and dL is the $SO(n)$ invariant measure on $G_q(\mathbf{R}^n)$. In this note generalize by letting H be a closed subgroup of $O(n)$ which is transitive on the unit sphere S^{n-1} and L_0 a linear subspace of $\mathbf{R}^n$ and replacing $G_q(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with the manifold

$$\text{Gr}(H, L_0) = \{aL_0 : a \in H\}.$$

For example if n is even and $\mathbf{R}^n$ is identified with $\mathbf{C}^{n/2}$, $H = U(n/2)$ is the unitary group and L_0 is a complex linear subspace of $\mathbf{C}^{n/2} = \mathbf{R}^n$ of complex dimension q , then $\text{Gr}(L_0, H)$ is the usual Grassmannian of all complex q dimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbf{C}^{n/2}$ with its measure invariant under $U(n/2)$.

In this note we use the methods of our earlier paper [3] to reduce the general case to concrete integrals over groups. While this is progress in the

Date: December 30, 20241341.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C65 .

Key words and phrases. Kinematic formulas, Crofton formulas.

general case, getting the precise constants for a specific choice of H , L_0 , and integral invariant I has to be done case by case.

2. PRELIMINARIES

I am going to put the problem of a kinematic formula for intersections with a plane constrained to go through the origin in a more general setting. Let $H \subset O(n)$ be a closed subgroup that is transitive on the unit sphere S^{n-1} . The most natural example of such a group is $H = O(n)$ or $H = SO(n)$. If n is even and $\mathbf{R}^n$ is identified with $\mathbf{C}^{n/2}$ then $H = U(n/2)$ and $H = SU(n/2)$ are also examples that arise naturally. (More generally all the subgroups of $O(n)$ that act transitively on S^{n-1} have been classified [4, 1].) Let $\mathbf{R}^+$ be the multiplicative group of positive real numbers. Let $G = H \times \mathbf{R}^+$. The group G acts on $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ by

$$(g, r) \cdot x = rgx.$$

This is transitive on $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ because H is transitive on S^{n-1} .

Denote by $\mathbf{g}(\cdot, \cdot)$ the standard flat Riemannian metric on $\mathbf{R}^n$:

$$\mathbf{g} = \sum_{i=1}^n dx_i^2$$

and let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the Riemannian metric defined by

$$\langle X, Y \rangle_x = \frac{\mathbf{g}_x(X, Y)}{|x|^2}.$$

The following is easy to verify.

Proposition 1. *The metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ is invariant under $O(n) \times \mathbf{R}^+$ and therefore also under its subgroup $G = H \times \mathbf{R}^+$. $\square$*

The group $O(n)$ has a natural (up to a constant multiple) bi-invariant Riemannian. If we use the usual identifications of the Lie algebra of $O(n)$ with both the left invariant vector fields on the group and with the skew-symmetric matrices then this metric is $(A, B)_{O(n)} \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(A^t B)$ (where A^t is the transpose of A). Let $\mathbf{o} \in S^{n-1}$ be the north pole of the sphere S^{n-1} (which we define as $\mathbf{o} := e_n$ where $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ is standard orthonormal basis of $\mathbf{R}^n$). Then the natural map $\pi(g) = g(\mathbf{o})$ is a Riemannian submersion. (Requiring this to hold forces the factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ in the definition of the bi-invariant $(A, B)_{O(n)}$.) Use the metric on $H \subseteq O(n)$ induced by $(\cdot, \cdot)_{O(n)}$. Then the map $\pi|_H(g) = g(\mathbf{o})$ is also a Riemannian submersion. Giving $G = H \times \mathbf{R}^+$ the product metric we then have

Proposition 2. *If G has the product metric $(\cdot, \cdot)_{O(n)} + dr^2/r^2$, then this metric is bi-invariant and the map $\mathbf{p}: G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ is given by $\mathbf{p}(g, r)(\mathbf{o}) = rg(\mathbf{o})$ is a Riemannian submersion. Letting Ω_G be the volume form of this metric, we also have that Ω_G is bi-invariant on G and G is unimodular as the volume form is bi-invariant. $\square$*

Let M be a smooth compact submanifold of $\mathbf{R}^n$, possibly with boundary. For a function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ we have two notions of a measure on M :

$$\int_M p(x) dx = \text{integral of } p \text{ w.r.t. the } g\text{-volume on } M,$$

$$\int_M p(x) \Omega_M(x) = \text{integral of } p \text{ w.r.t. the } \langle, \rangle\text{-volume on } M.$$

Elementary calculations show these are related by

$$\int_M p(x) dx = \int_M |x|^k p(x) \Omega_M(x), \quad \int_M p(x) \Omega_M(x) = \int_M \frac{p(x)}{|x|^k} dx.$$

In these formulas $|x|$ is computed with respect to the Euclidean metric g .

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an invariant polynomial defined on the second fundamental forms of $p + q - n$ dimensional submanifolds of $\mathbf{R}^n$ (see [3, Def. 6.3, p. 40] for the definition). Define an integral invariant $I^{\mathcal{P}}$ on $p + q - n$ dimensional submanifolds of $\mathbf{R}^n$ by

$$I^{\mathcal{P}}(M) = \int_M \mathcal{P}(h_x^M) dx$$

where h_x^M is the vector valued second fundamental form of M at x with respect to the usual Euclidean inner product g .

Let M^p and N^q be submanifolds of $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Then our goal is to evaluate the integral

$$(1) \quad \int_G I^{\mathcal{P}}(M \cap gN) \Omega_G(dg).$$

Note this is not the usual set up for integral geometry as $I^{\mathcal{P}}$ is not invariant with respect to the action of the group G . To see how this relates to the problem of a kinematic formula for intersections with q -dimensional planes through the origin, let L_0 be some q -dimensional linear subspace of $\mathbf{R}^n$, and set

$$(2) \quad \text{Gr}(L_0, G) = \{gL_0 : g \in G\} = \{aL_0 : a \in H\}.$$

If $H = O(n)$ then $\text{Gr}(L_0, G)$ is the usual Grassmannian of all q -dimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbf{R}^n$. If $H = U(n/2)$ and L_0 is a complex linear subspace of $\mathbf{R}^n$ then $\text{Gr}(L_0, G)$ is the complex Grassmannian of all $q/2$ -dimensional complex subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^{n/2} = \mathbf{R}^n$. Note that $\text{Gr}(L_0, G)$ has an H invariant volume element dL . (This is because H is compact.) This volume element is also invariant under the action of G (as the factor $\mathbb{R}^+$ acts trivially on $\text{Gr}(L_0, G)$.) Then the original problem coming out of the Griffiths paper is to evaluate the integral

$$(3) \quad \int_{\text{Gr}(L_0, G)} I^{\mathcal{P}}(M \cap L) dL = \int_H I^{\mathcal{P}}(M \cap aL_0) \Omega_H(da)$$

where Ω_H is the invariant volume form on H . But if we choose N to be a bounded open subset of L_0 with compact closure in $L_0 \setminus \{0\}$ then it is not

hard to show there is a constant C , only depending on the $\langle, \rangle$ volume of N so that

$$(4) \quad \int_{\text{Gr}(L_0, G)} I^{\mathcal{P}}(M \cap L) dL = C \int_G I^{\mathcal{P}}(M \cap gN) dg.$$

(This follows just as in [3, appendix to Section 3].) Thus if we can evaluate (1) then we can also evaluate (2). Let $\mathbf{o}$ be the north pole of S^{n-1} and let K be the isotropy subgroup of H at $\mathbf{o}$. Then K is also the isotropy subgroup of G acting on $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ at the point $\mathbf{o}$. Thus $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\} = G/K$ is a homogeneous space.

As submanifolds of $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ have two notions of surface area (coming from the two different Riemannian metrics $\mathbf{g}$ and $\langle, \rangle$) we need some notation to keep them straight. Let M^k be a k -dimensional submanifold of $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $p: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ a smooth function. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M p(x) dx &= \text{integral of } p \text{ w.r.t. the } \mathbf{g}\text{-volume on } M, \\ \int_M p(x) \Omega_M(dx) &= \text{integral of } p \text{ w.r.t. the } \langle, \rangle\text{-volume on } M. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the definitions that these are related by

$$(5) \quad \int_M p(x) dx = \int_M |x|^k p(x) \Omega_M(dx), \quad \int_M p(x) \Omega_M(dx) = \int_M \frac{p(x)}{|x|^k} dx.$$

Let K be the isotropy subgroup of G at $\mathbf{o}$:

$$K := \{a \in G : a(\mathbf{o}) = \mathbf{o}\}.$$

Recalling that $\mathbf{p}: G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ given by $\mathbf{p}(g, r) = rg(\mathbf{o})$ is a Riemannian submersion and each fiber $\mathbf{p}^{-1}[x]$ is a translate in G of K and thus has the same volume as K . Also $\mathbf{p}$ is a Riemannian submersion and thus of M is a k dimensional submanifold of $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $\widehat{M} = \mathbf{p}^{-1}[M]$ is preimage of M by $\mathbf{p}$, and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a measurable function, then the coarea formula gives

$$\text{Vol}(K) \int_M f(x) \Omega_M(dx) = \int_{\widehat{M}} f(\mathbf{p}(x)) \Omega_G(d\xi).$$

In light of (5) this implies

$$(6) \quad \text{Vol}(K) \int_M f(x) dx = \int_{\widehat{M}} |\mathbf{p}(\xi)|^k f(\mathbf{p}(\xi)) \Omega_{\widehat{M}}(d\xi).$$

Let U, V be subspaces of a real inner product space of dimensions k and ℓ respectively. Then the *angle*¹ between defined to be

$$\sigma(U, V) = \|u_1 \wedge u_2 \cdots \wedge u_k \wedge v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_\ell\|$$

where $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k$ is an orthonormal basis of U and $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\ell$ is an orthonormal basis of V . More generally we if $\xi, \eta \in G$ and U is a subspace

¹“Angle” is not really quite the correct term for $\sigma(U, V)$ as when U and V are one dimensional this is the sine of the usual angle between them. We use the term “angle” for brevity.

of TG_ξ and V is a subspace of TG_η , we can define the angle between these subspaces by translating back to the identity element of G :

$$\sigma(U, V) = \sigma(L_{\xi^{-1}*}U, L_{\eta^{-1}*}V)$$

where L_g is left translation by g and L_{g*} is the derivative of L_g . It is worth remarking that as the metrics $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\mathbf{g}$ are conformal and elements of G are conformal maps that $\sigma(U, V)$ can be computed with respect to either metric. Let M^p and N^q be submanifolds of $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and let $\widehat{M} = \pi^{-1}[M]$, $\widehat{N} = \pi^{-1}[N]$. Then by the *basic integral formula* of [3, p. 7] and using that G is unimodular for any we have for any integrable function $f: \widehat{M} \times \widehat{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$

$$(7) \quad \int_G \left(\int_{\widehat{M} \cap g\widehat{N}} f(\xi, g^{-1}\xi) \Omega_{\widehat{M} \cap g\widehat{N}}(d\xi) \right) \Omega_G(dg) \\ = \iint_{\widehat{M} \times \widehat{N}} f(\xi, \eta) \sigma(T^\perp \widehat{M}_\xi, T^\perp \widehat{N}_\eta) \Omega_{\widehat{M} \times \widehat{N}}(d\xi, d\eta).$$

We recall some definitions from [3]. If $M \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ then, as usual, the second fundamental form of M at $x \in M$ is a symmetric function $h: TM_x \times TM_x \rightarrow T^\perp M_x$. For technical reasons it is useful to consider the *extended second fundamental form* of M which is defined by letting h to a function $H: \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$, also symmetric and bilinear, by $H(u, v) = h(u, v)$ for $u, v \in TM_x$ and $H(u, w) = H(w, v) = 0$ for $w \in T^\perp M_x$ and all $u, v \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Let

$\text{EII} =$ vector space of all symmetric bilinear maps from $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$ to $\mathbf{R}^n$.

This includes the extended second fundamental forms of all submanifolds of $\mathbf{R}^n$. Let

$$\text{Poly}_H^\ell(\text{EII}) = \begin{cases} \text{vector space of all real valued polynomials on EII} \\ \text{invariant under } H \text{ and homogeneous of degree } \ell. \end{cases}$$

If $\mathcal{P} \in \text{Poly}_H^\ell(\text{EII})$ and if M is a submanifold of $\mathbf{R}^n$ set

$$I^\mathcal{P}(M) = \int_M \mathcal{P}(h_x^M) dx$$

where h^M is the extended second fundamental form of M . This is invariant under translations and the group H . That is invariant under the subdirect product $H \ltimes \mathbf{R}^n$ of maps of the form $x \mapsto ax + b$ with $a \in H$ and $b \in \mathbf{R}^n$. See [3, Section 6] for more details on these integral invariants.

Let M^p and N^q be submanifolds of $\mathbf{R}^n$ of the indicated degrees and $\mathcal{P} \in \text{Poly}_H^\ell$. Our goal is to evaluate

$$(8) \quad \int_G I^\mathcal{P}(M \cap gN) \Omega_M(dg).$$

This is not quite the usual set up for integral as the integral invariant $I^\mathcal{P}$ is not invariant under the group G , but the methods of [3] carry over to this setting.

With notation as in the Basic Integral Formula (7), let $f: \widehat{M} \times \widehat{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be the function

$$f(\xi, \eta) = \mathcal{P} \left(h_{\pi(\xi)}^{M \cap \xi \eta^{-1} N} \right) |\pi(\xi)|^{p+q-n}$$

Then

$$f(\xi, g^{-1}\xi) = \mathcal{P} \left(h_{\pi(\xi)}^{M \cap \xi \xi^{-1} gN} \right) |\pi(\xi)|^{p+q-n} = \mathcal{P} \left(h_{\pi(\xi)}^{M \cap gN} \right) |\pi(\xi)|^{p+q-n}.$$

Therefore, using that $\widehat{M} \cap g\widehat{N}$ fibers over $M \cap gN$ with fiber K and that the projection is a Riemannian submersion,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\widehat{M} \cap g\widehat{N}} f(\xi, g^{-1}\xi) \Omega_{\widehat{M} \cap g\widehat{N}}(\xi, \eta) \\ &= \int_{\widehat{M} \cap g\widehat{N}} \mathcal{P} \left(h_{\pi(\xi)}^{M \cap gN} \right) |\pi(\xi)|^{p+q-n} \Omega_{\widehat{M} \cap g\widehat{N}}(d\xi, d\eta) \\ &= \text{Vol}(K) \int_{M \cap gN} \mathcal{P} \left(h_x^{M \cap gN} \right) |\pi(\xi)|^{p+q-n} \Omega_{M \cap gN}(dx) \\ &= \text{Vol}(K) \int_{M \cap gN} \mathcal{P} \left(h_x^{M \cap gN} \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using this in equation (7)

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Vol}(K) \int_G \left(\int_{M \cap gN} \mathcal{P} \left(h_x^{M \cap gN} \right) dx \right) \Omega_G(dg) \\ &= \iint_{\widehat{M} \times \widehat{N}} f(\xi, \eta) \sigma(T^\perp \widehat{M}_\xi, T^\perp \widehat{N}_\eta) \Omega_{\widehat{M} \times \widehat{N}}(d\xi, d\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Which is equivalent to

(9)

$$\text{Vol}(K) \int_G I^{\mathcal{P}}(M \cap gN) \Omega_G(dg)$$

(10)

$$= \iint_{\widehat{M} \times \widehat{N}} f(\xi, \eta) \sigma(T^\perp \widehat{M}_\xi, T^\perp \widehat{N}_\eta) \Omega_{\widehat{M} \times \widehat{N}}(d\xi, d\eta).$$

Now to get our formula it just remains to put the right side of this equation in a usable, or at least more usable, form. Note that the map $(\xi, \eta) \mapsto (\pi(\xi), \pi(\eta))$ is a Riemannian submersion $\widehat{M} \times \widehat{N} \rightarrow M \times N$ with fibers isometric to K (where we are assuming here that M and N have the G invariant metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$). Thus if for each $x \in M$ and $y \in N$ we choose $\xi_x, \eta_y \in G$ with $\pi(\xi_x) = x$ and $\pi(\eta_y) = y$ (or what is the same thing $\xi_x(\mathbf{o}) = x$ and $\eta_y(\mathbf{o}) = y$) we can rewrite the last equation as

$$(11) \quad \text{Vol}(K) \int_G I^{\mathcal{P}}(M \cap gN) \Omega_G(g) = \iint_{M \times N} \mathcal{I}(x, y) \Omega_M(x) \Omega_N(y)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}(x, y) &= \iint_{K \times K} f(\xi_x a, \eta_y b) \sigma(T^\perp \widehat{M}_{\xi_x a}, T^\perp \widehat{N}_{\eta_y b}) \Omega_K(da) \Omega_K(db) \\ &= \iint_{K \times K} \mathcal{P}\left(h_x^{M \cap \xi_x a b^{-1} \eta_y^{-1} N}\right) |x|^{p+q-n} \sigma(T^\perp \widehat{M}_{\xi_x a}, T^\perp \widehat{N}_{\eta_y b}) \Omega_K(da) \Omega_K(db).\end{aligned}$$

From [3, Eq. (3-13), p. 17] and that b is an isometry we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(T^\perp \widehat{M}_{\xi_x a}, T^\perp \widehat{N}_{\eta_y b}) &= \sigma((\xi_x a)_*^{-1} T^\perp M_x, (b_*^{-1}(\eta_y)_*^{-1}) T^\perp N_y) \\ &= \sigma(b_*(\xi_x a)_*^{-1} T^\perp M_x, (\eta_y)_*^{-1} T^\perp N_y) \\ &= \sigma((\xi_x a b^{-1})_*^{-1} T^\perp M_x, (\eta_y)_*^{-1} T^\perp N_y).\end{aligned}$$

Using this in equation (10)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}(x, y) &= \iint_{K \times K} \mathcal{P}\left(h_x^{M \cap \xi_x a b^{-1} \eta_y^{-1} N}\right) |x|^{p+q-n} \sigma(T^\perp \widehat{M}_{\xi_x a}, T^\perp \widehat{N}_{\eta_y b}) \Omega_K(da) \Omega_K(db) \\ &= \iint_{K \times K} \mathcal{P}\left(h_x^{M \cap \xi_x a b^{-1} \eta_y^{-1} N}\right) |x|^{p+q-n} \sigma((\xi_x a b^{-1})_*^{-1} T^\perp M_x, (\eta_y)_*^{-1} T^\perp N_y) \Omega_K(da) \Omega_K(db) \\ &= \text{Vol}(K) \int_K \mathcal{P}\left(h_x^{M \cap \xi_x a \eta_y^{-1} N}\right) |x|^{p+q-n} \sigma((\xi_x a)_*^{-1} T^\perp M_x, (\eta_y)_*^{-1} T^\perp N_y) \Omega_K(da) \\ (10) \quad &= \text{Vol}(K) |x|^{p+q-n} \int_K \mathcal{P}\left(h_x^{M \cap \xi_x a \eta_y^{-1} N}\right) \sigma((\xi_x a)_*^{-1} T^\perp M_x, (\eta_y)_*^{-1} T^\perp N_y) \Omega_K(da).\end{aligned}$$

As $\mathcal{P} \in \text{Poly}_H^\ell(\text{EII})$, we have that $\mathcal{P}$ is homogeneous of degree ℓ . Note that if E is a $p+q-n$ dimensional submanifold of $\mathbf{R}^n$ and $\lambda > 0$ then the second fundamental form of E and its dilate λE are related by $h^{\lambda E} = (1/\lambda)h^E$. Then as $\mathcal{P}$ is homogeneous of degree ℓ we have

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{P}(h^{\lambda E}) = \frac{1}{\lambda^\ell} \mathcal{P}(h^E).$$

The element $\xi_x \in G$ is so that $\xi_x(\mathbf{o}) = x$ and as $|\mathbf{o}| = 1$ this implies that there is a unique element $\zeta_x \in H$ so that $\xi_x = |x|\zeta_x$. With this notation and

using that $\mathcal{P}$ is invariant under the action of H ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}\left(h_x^{M \cap \xi_x a \eta_y^{-1} N}\right) &= \mathcal{P}\left(h_x^{\xi_x (\xi_x^{-1} M \cap a \eta_y^{-1} N)}\right) \\
&= \mathcal{P}\left(h_x^{|x| \zeta_x (\xi_x^{-1} M \cap a \eta_y^{-1} N)}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{|x|^\ell} \mathcal{P}\left(h_{\mathbf{o}}^{\zeta_x (\xi_x^{-1} M \cap a \eta_y^{-1} N)}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{|x|^\ell} \mathcal{P}\left(h_{\mathbf{o}}^{(\xi_x^{-1} M \cap a \eta_y^{-1} N)}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{|x|^\ell} \mathcal{P}\left(h_{\mathbf{o}}^{a^{-1} \xi_x^{-1} M \cap \eta_y^{-1} N}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{|x|^\ell} \mathcal{P}\left(h_{\mathbf{o}}^{(\xi_x a)^{-1} M \cap \eta_y^{-1} N}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Putting this in (11)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}(x, y) &= \text{Vol}(K) |x|^{p+q-n-\ell} \int_K \mathcal{P}\left(h_{\mathbf{o}}^{(\xi_x a)^{-1} M \cap \eta_y^{-1} N}\right) \sigma((\xi_x a)_*^{-1} T^\perp M_x, (\eta_y)_*^{-1} T^\perp N_y) \Omega_k(da) \\
&= \text{Vol}(K) |x|^{p+q-n-\ell} I_K^{\mathcal{P}}(T(\xi_x^{-1} M)_{\mathbf{o}}, h_{\mathbf{o}}^{\xi_x^{-1} M}, T(\eta_y^{-1} N)_{\mathbf{o}}, h_{\mathbf{o}}^{\eta_y^{-1} N})
\end{aligned}$$

where $I_K^{\mathcal{P}}(V, h_1, W, h_2)$ is as defined in [3, Def 6.3 p. 40]. Putting this into equation (11) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_G I^{\mathcal{P}}(M \cap gN) \Omega_G(g) \\
&= \iint_{M \times N} |x|^{p+q-n-\ell} I_K^{\mathcal{P}}(T(\xi_x^{-1} M)_{\mathbf{o}}, h_{\mathbf{o}}^{\xi_x^{-1} M}, T(\eta_y^{-1} N)_{\mathbf{o}}, h_{\mathbf{o}}^{\eta_y^{-1} N}) \Omega_M(dx) \Omega_N(dy) \\
(14) \quad &= \iint_{M \times N} |x|^{q-n-\ell} |y|^{-q} I_K^{\mathcal{P}}(T(\xi_x^{-1} M)_{\mathbf{o}}, h_{\mathbf{o}}^{\xi_x^{-1} M}, T(\eta_y^{-1} N)_{\mathbf{o}}, h_{\mathbf{o}}^{\eta_y^{-1} N}) dx dy.
\end{aligned}$$

To simplify this a little more it would be nice to get rid of the dependence on the elements ξ_x and η_y . This can be done by using the dilation property $h^{\lambda M} = (1/\lambda)h$, and that $\xi_x = |x|\zeta_x$ for some $\zeta_x \in H$. Thus

$$h_{\mathbf{o}}^{\xi_x M} = h_{\mathbf{o}}^{|x|^{-1} \zeta_x^{-1} M} = |x| h_{|x|\mathbf{o}}^{\zeta_x^{-1} M}$$

which when used along with the invariance properties of $I_K^{\mathcal{P}}$ in equation (14) gives

Proposition 3. *With notation as above the kinematic formula*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_G I^{\mathcal{P}}(M \cap gN) \Omega_G(dg) \\ &= \iint_{M \times N} |x|^{q-n-\ell} |y|^{-q} I_K^{\mathcal{P}}(TM_x, |x|h_x^M, TN_y, |y|h_y^N) dx dy \\ &= \iint_{M \times N} |x|^{p+q-n-\ell} I_K^{\mathcal{P}}(TM_x, |x|h_x^M, TN_y, |y|h_y^N) \Omega_M(dx) \Omega_N(dy). \end{aligned}$$

holds. $\square$

Unfortunately there does seem to be any obvious way to farther simplify the integrand $I_K^{\mathcal{P}}(TM_x, |x|h_x^M, TN_y, |y|h_y^N)$.

With $\text{Gr}(L_0, G)$ as in (2) and letting

$$J_K^{\mathcal{P}}(TM_x, h_x^M) = I_K^{\mathcal{P}}(TM_x, |x|h_x^M, L_0, 0) = |x|^{\ell} I_K^{\mathcal{P}}(TM_x, h_x^M, L_0, 0)$$

we can combine Proposition 3 and Equations (4) and (6) to get:

Corollary 4. *If $\mathcal{P} \in \text{Poly}_H^{\ell}$ and L_0 has $\dim(L_0) = q$, then for any compact submanifold M of $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ with $\dim(M) = p$*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\text{Gr}(L_0, G)} I^{\mathcal{P}}(M \cap L) dL &= C \int_M |x|^{p+q-n} J^{\mathcal{P}}(TM_x, h_x^M) \Omega_M(dx) \\ &= C \int_M |x|^{q-n} J^{\mathcal{P}}(TM_x, h_x^M) dx. \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant that only depends on the choice of measure on $\text{Gr}(L_0, G)$ (or equivalently only on the total volume of $\text{Gr}(L_0, G)$.) $\square$

3. POINCARÉ AND CROFTON TYPE FORMULAS.

We need a bit of notation. Let $V \subseteq T(\mathbf{R}^n)_x$ and $W \subseteq T(\mathbf{R}^n)_y$ where $x, y \neq 0$. Choose $\xi_x, \eta_y \in G$ so that $\xi_x(x) = \mathbf{o}$ and $\eta_y(y) = \mathbf{o}$ and define the *averaged angle* between V and W to be

$$\sigma_K(V, W) := \int_K \sigma(\xi_{x*}^{-1} V, a_* \eta_{y*}^{-1} W) \Omega_K(da).$$

That is use elements ξ_x and η_y move the subspaces V and W to the point $\mathbf{o}$ and then average the angle between the resulting subspace with respect to all possible choices of η_y . This satisfies, cf. [3, Prop. 3.4 Page 14]

$$(13) \quad \sigma_K(V, W) = \sigma_K(W, V) = \sigma_K(g_* V, W) = \sigma_K(V, g_* W)$$

for all $g \in G$.

Then $\ell = 0$ and the formula for $I_K^{\mathcal{P}}(TM_x, |x|h_x^M, TN_y, |y|h_y^N)$ simplifies to

$$I_K^{\mathcal{P}}(TM_x, |x|h_x^M, TN_y, |y|h_y^N) = \sigma_K(TM_x^{\perp}, TN_y^{\perp}).$$

This follows from the formula for I_K [3, Page 40] upon letting $\mathcal{P} \equiv 1$. So in this case the kinematic formula becomes

Proposition 5. *If M^p and N^q are compact submanifolds of $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G \text{Vol}(M \cap N) \Omega_G(dg) &= \iint_{M \times N} |x|^{q-n} |y|^{-q} \sigma_K(T^\perp M_x, T^\perp N_y) dx dy \\ &= \iint_{M \times N} |x|^{p+q-n} \sigma_K(T^\perp M_x, T^\perp N_y) \Omega_M(dx) \Omega_N(dy). \end{aligned}$$

And Corollary 4 becomes

Corollary 6. *With the hypothesis of Corollary 4*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\text{Gr}(L_0, G)} \text{Vol}(M \cap L) dL &= C \int_M |x|^{p+q-n} \sigma_K(T^\perp M_x, L_0^\perp) \Omega_M(dx) \\ &= C \int_M |x|^{q-n} \sigma_K(T^\perp M_x, L_0^\perp) dx. \end{aligned}$$

with the constant C only depending on the total volume of $\text{Gr}(L_0, G)$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Armand Borel, *Le plan projectif des octaves et les sphères comme espaces homogènes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **230** (1950), 1378–1380. MR 34768
- [2] Phillip A. Griffiths, *Complex differential and integral geometry and curvature integrals associated to singularities of complex analytic varieties*, Duke Math. J. **45** (1978), no. 3, 427–512. MR 507455
- [3] Ralph Howard, *The kinematic formula in Riemannian homogeneous spaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1993), no. 509, vi+69. MR 1169230
- [4] Deane Montgomery and Hans Samelson, *Transformation groups of spheres*, Ann. of Math. **44** (1943), no. 3, 454–470. MR 8817

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, COLUMBIA, S.C.
29208, USA

Email address: howard@math.sc.edu

URL: www.math.sc.edu/~howard